

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,129	04/04/2005	Eric Verschueren	234855	9919
23460 7590 07/27/2007 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			EXAMINER	
			CHU, JOHN S Y	
180 NORTH S CHICAGO, IL	TETSON AVENUE 60601-6731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
		·		
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·		10/530,129	VERSCHUEREN, ERIC		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John S. Chu	1752		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
2a) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	on of Claims	•			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)∏ T .⁄ F	he specification is objected to by the Examiner he drawing(s) filed on is/are:. a) access a policinate may not request that any objection to the correction declaration is objected to by the Examiner process.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s	s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e		

Application/Control Number: 10/530,129

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed May 8, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over KAMITANI et al (2002/0098288).

The claimed invention is drawn to the following;

- 1. (Currently Amended) A method of making a heat-sensitive lithographic printing plate precursor comprising the steps of
 - (i) providing a web of a lithographic support having a hydrophilic surface;
- (ii) applying a coating comprising a phenolic resin on the hydrophilic surface of the web;
 - (iii) drying the coating;
- (iv) a heating step wherein the web temperature is maintained above the glass transition temperature of the phenolic resin Tg during a period of between 0.1 and 60 seconds;
- (v) an active cooling step wherein the web temperature is reduced at an average cooling rate which is higher than if the web would be kept under ambient conditions but not higher than and which is between 0.5°C/s and 30°C/s; and
 - (vi) winding the precursor on a core or cutting the precursor into sheets.

KAMITANI et al discloses a process of manufacturing a lithographic printing plate wherein a photosensitive layer is coated in a drying, heating step and a forced cooling step, see

Application/Control Number: 10/530,129

Art Unit: 1752

paragraphs [0067] – [0068] wherein a cooling device is disposed in a production line which cools the photosensitive coated layer from a heated temperature of 140° C to 40° C. The rate of the cooling step is not explicitly disclosed nor is the time of cooling disclosed to determine the cooling rate, however the range of the temperature is known to be cooled from 140° C to 40° C, so that a cooling time of 1-2 minutess would meet the claimed range. Since the forced cooling step is run through a production line, cooling in a cooling tank for 1-2 minutes would appear to meet the claimed range at 0.5° C/s. The method is asserted by inherency to be present in the disclosure of KAMITANI and would constitute a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 which is applied here.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on (571) 272-1526

The fax phone number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/530,129

Art Unit: 1752

Page 4

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John S. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu July 23, 2007